



Stichting NIOC en de NIOC kennisbank

Stichting NIOC (www.nioc.nl) stelt zich conform zijn statuten tot doel: het realiseren van congressen over informatica onderwijs en voorts al hetgeen met een en ander rechtstreeks of zijdelings verband houdt of daartoe bevorderlijk kan zijn, alles in de ruimste zin des woords.

De stichting NIOC neemt de archivering van de resultaten van de congressen voor zijn rekening. De website www.nioc.nl ontsluit onder "Eerdere congressen" de gearchiveerde websites van eerdere congressen. De vele afzonderlijke congresbijdragen zijn opgenomen in een kennisbank die via dezelfde website onder "NIOC kennisbank" ontsloten wordt.

Op dit moment bevat de NIOC kennisbank alle bijdragen, incl. die van het laatste congres (NIOC2025, gehouden op donderdag 27 maart 2025 jl. en georganiseerd door Hogeschool Windesheim). Bij elkaar zo'n 1500 bijdragen!

We roepen je op, na het lezen van het document dat door jou is gedownload, de auteur(s) feedback te geven. Dit kan door je te registreren als gebruiker van de NIOC kennisbank. Na registratie krijg je bericht hoe in te loggen op de NIOC kennisbank.

Het eerstvolgende NIOC vindt plaats op 18 maart 2027 in Arnhem en wordt georganiseerd door HAN University of Applied Sciences.

Reacties over de NIOC kennisbank en de inhoud daarvan kun je richten aan de beheerder:

R. Smedinga kennisbank@nioc.nl.

Vermeld bij reacties jouw naam en telefoonnummer voor nader contact.

.....is dit genoeg theorie?

Prof. Dr. Piet Ribbers

Enkele Uitgangspunten voor:
‘Methoden en Technieken van
Onderzoek”
Avans Hogeschool

Opdracht

Academie voor ICT en Business van Avans Hogeschool:

- “welke onderzoeksvaardigheden dienen in het curriculum te worden opgenomen?”
- Nieuw vak ‘Methoden en Technieken van Onderzoek’
- Op basis van 10 afstudeerrapporten (5 Informatica; 5 Bedrijfskundige Informatica)
-dus geen kwaliteitsoordeel van de afstudeerverslagen.

Het Vakgebied (Bedrijfs-)Informatiesystemen




Figure 1. Organizational Design and Information Systems Design Activities
(Adapted from J. Henderson and N. Venkatraman, "Strategic Alignment: Leveraging Information Technology for Transforming Organizations," *IBM Systems Journal* (32:1), 1993.)

Onderzoek in het vakgebied “Informatiesystemen”

Twee benaderingen:

- Verklarend, voorspellend onderzoek
 - Gericht op ontwikkeling van kennis, theorievorming
- Ontwerpgericht onderzoek
 - Gericht op het creëren/ontwerpen van een oplossing voor een probleemsituatie (een artefact)
- Beide typen versterken elkaar/ vullen elkaar aan.

Onderzoek in het vakgebied “Informatiesystemen

- Informatica: vooral ontwerpgericht onderzoek
 - echter een ontwerp wordt in een context gebruikt...
- Informatiekunde (Bedrijfskundige informatica): vooral verklarend en voorspellend onderzoek
 - echter met betrekking tot een ontwerp...
- Dus beide vormen komen aan bod bij elk, wel met een ander accent.

Verklarend onderzoek: Theorievorming

In more detailed terms, a theory may be viewed as a system of constructs and variables in which the constructs are related to each other by propositions and the variables are related to each other by hypotheses. The whole system is bounded by the theorist's assumptions, as indicated by Figure 1.

Table 3. Structural Components of Theory

Theory Component (Components Common to All Theory)	Definition
Means of representation	The theory must be represented physically in some way: in words, mathematical terms, symbolic logic, diagrams, tables or graphically. Additional aids for representation could include pictures, models, or prototype systems.
Constructs	These refer to the phenomena of interest in the theory (Dubin's "units"). All of the primary constructs in the theory should be well defined. Many different types of constructs are possible: for example, observational (real) terms, theoretical (nominal) terms and collective terms.*
Statements of relationship	These show relationships among the constructs. Again, these may be of many types: associative, compositional, unidirectional, bidirectional, conditional, or causal. The nature of the relationship specified depends on the purpose of the theory. Very simple relationships can be specified: for example, "x is a member of class A."
Scope	The scope is specified by the degree of generality of the statements of relationships (signified by modal qualifiers such as "some," "many," "all," and "never") and statements of boundaries showing the limits of generalizations.
Theory Component (Components Contingent on Theory Purpose)	Definition
Causal explanations	The theory gives statements of relationships among phenomena that show causal reasoning (not covering law or probabilistic reasoning alone).
Testable propositions (hypotheses)	Statements of relationships between constructs are stated in such a form that they can be tested empirically.
Prescriptive statements	Statements in the theory specify how people can accomplish something in practice (e.g., construct an artifact or develop a strategy).

*Dubin (1978) defines a real unit as one for which an empirical indicator can be found, and a nominal unit as one for which an empirical indicator cannot be found. Collective units are a class or set of units while member units are the members of the class or set. Further distinctions are made between enumerative, associative, relational, statistical, and complex units.




Figure 1. Components of a theory.

Thus, a construct may be viewed as a broad mental configuration of a given phenomenon, while a variable may be viewed as an operational configuration derived from a construct.

Een voorbeeld...

“Bedrijven investeren in interorganisationele systemen om daarmee bepaalde voordelen te bereiken. Een vraag is dan door welke factoren wordt die bereidheid bepaald en wat is er nodig om die voordelen te bereiken”

Research Questions

- Various types of interorganizational systems and various types of investments (Bharadwaj 2000)
 - Relationship-specific investments are important (Welty et al. 2001)
 - Trust and dependence can influence investments
1. How do dependence and various forms of trust affect the different types of relationship-specific investments?

Introduction

Context

Research Questions

Theoretical Perspectives

Conceptual Model

Methodology

Conclusions

Research Questions

- Various types of investments
 - IOSs are used in different types of relationships
2. How do different types of relationship-specific investments effect the achievement of IOS capabilities?

Introduction

Context

Research Questions

Theoretical Perspectives

Conceptual Model

Methodology

Conclusions

Trust

- Trust can be defined as a party's willingness to be vulnerable to another party based on the belief that the latter party is *competent, open, concerned, and reliable* (Mishra 1996)
- Trust can facilitate relationship-specific investments as it is expected that the partner will not perform a damaging behavior (Nooteboom 2002).

Introduction

Theoretical Perspectives

TCE

Trust

Dependence

Resource-Based View

Conceptual Model

Methodology

Conclusions



Dependence

- Dependence is determined by the utility and substitutability of a specific partner organization (Cox et. al 2002)
- Dependence can make the organization vulnerable as it can be forced into harmful exchange settings (Ratnasingam 2000).

Introduction

Theoretical Perspectives

TCE

Trust

Dependence

Resource-Based View

Conceptual Model

Methodology

Conclusions



Resource-Based View

- RBV addresses the fundamental question of why firms differ and how firms achieve and sustain competitive advantage
- RBV has two basic assumptions:
 - Firms may be heterogeneous with respect to the resources and capabilities they own or control.
 - Resources may not be perfectly mobile amongst firms, and therefore heterogeneity can be sustained.

Introduction

Theoretical Perspectives

TCE

Trust

Dependence

Resource-Based View

Conceptual Model

Methodology


Conclusions



Introduction
Theoretical Perspectives
TCE
Trust
Dependence
Resource-Based View
Conceptual Model
Methodology
Conclusions




- Resources
 - Tangible IT resources
 - Human IT resources
 - Intangible IT resources



Capabilities

Conceptual Model



Introduction
Theoretical Perspectives
Conceptual Model
Impacts of Trust
Impacts of Dependence
Combining Resources
Capabilities and Benefits
Methodology
Conclusions

Ontwerpgericht Onderzoek

Creeeren van een ontwerp dat in een bepaalde context innoverend is en/of een bestaande situatie verbetert. Hierbij zijn met name van belang:

- het concretiseren van de vraag of probleemsituatie, en het vaststellen van de relevantie ervan;
- het maken van het ontwerp (het 'design')
- de validatie en evaluatie van het ontwerp.

Table 1. Design-Science Research Guidelines

Guideline	Description
Guideline 1: Design as an Artifact	Design-science research must produce a viable artifact in the form of a construct, a model, a method, or an instantiation.
Guideline 2: Problem Relevance	The objective of design-science research is to develop technology-based solutions to important and relevant business problems.
Guideline 3: Design Evaluation	The utility, quality, and efficacy of a design artifact must be rigorously demonstrated via well-executed evaluation methods.
Guideline 4: Research Contributions	Effective design-science research must provide clear and verifiable contributions in the areas of the design artifact, design foundations, and/or design methodologies.
Guideline 5: Research Rigor	Design-science research relies upon the application of rigorous methods in both the construction and evaluation of the design artifact.
Guideline 6: Design as a Search Process	The search for an effective artifact requires utilizing available means to reach desired ends while satisfying laws in the problem environment.
Guideline 7: Communication of Research	Design-science research must be presented effectively both to technology-oriented as well as management-oriented audiences.

Aanbevelingen voor “Methoden en Technieken van Onderzoek”

1. Ontwerpgericht vs voorspellend/verklarend:
kenmerken, principes, opzet;
2. Probleemstelling en Onderzoeksvragen
3. Systematisch literatuuronderzoek: doen en
rapporteren erover.

Aanbevelingen: Verklarend onderzoek

4. Het maken van een conceptueel model:
 - Constructen, variabelen (eventueel), relaties (proposities)
5. Toetsen van hypothesen

Aanbevelingen Ontwerpend Onderzoek

6. Het maken van een goed ontwerp
 - Dit vereist het bekwaam kunnen hanteren van de kennis uit de betreffende vakgebieden zoals informatica, informatiekunde en organisatiekunde.

Aanbevelingen

- 7. Empirische onderzoeksmethoden:
 - voor toetsing van proposities
 - evaluatie en validatie van ontwerpen
 - Bijv:
 - case study onderzoek
 - Interviews; Waarnemingen
 - statistiek (kleine aantallen)

Table 2. Design Evaluation Methods	
1. Observational	Case Study: Study artifact in depth in business environment
	Field Study: Monitor use of artifact in multiple projects
2. Analytical	Static Analysis: Examine structure of artifact for static qualities (e.g., complexity)
	Architecture Analysis: Study fit of artifact into technical IS architecture
	Optimization: Demonstrate inherent optimal properties of artifact or provide optimality bounds on artifact behavior
	Dynamic Analysis: Study artifact in use for dynamic qualities (e.g., performance)
3. Experimental	Controlled Experiment: Study artifact in controlled environment for qualities (e.g., usability)
	Simulation – Execute artifact with artificial data
4. Testing	Functional (Black Box) Testing: Execute artifact interfaces to discover failures and identify defects
	Structural (White Box) Testing: Perform coverage testing of some metric (e.g., execution paths) in the artifact implementation
5. Descriptive	Informed Argument: Use information from the knowledge base (e.g., relevant research) to build a convincing argument for the artifact's utility
	Scenarios: Construct detailed scenarios around the artifact to demonstrate its utility

Referenties

- S.B. Bacherach: Organizational Theories: Some Criteria for Evaluation. *Academy of Management Review*, 1989, Vol. 14, No. 4, pp 496-515.
- A.R. Hevner, S.T. March, J. Park: Design Science in Information Systems Research. *MIS Quarterly*, Vol. 28 No. 1, pp 75-105, Maart 2004.
- R.K. Yin: *Case Study Research – Design and Methods*. Sage 2003

Dank U

P.M.A.Ribbers@uvt.nl